April 24, 2024

Erik Ostrowski Interim Chief Executive Officer AVROBIO, Inc. 100 Technology Square Sixth Floor Cambridge, MA 02139

> Re: AVROBIO, Inc. Amendment No. 2 to

Registration Statement on Form S-4

Filed April 15,

2024

File No. 333-277048

Dear Erik Ostrowski:

We have reviewed your amended registration statement and have the following

comments.

Please respond to this letter by amending your registration statement and providing the

requested information. If you do not believe a comment applies to your facts and circumstances

or do not believe an amendment is appropriate, please tell us why in your response.

After reviewing any amendment to your registration statement and the information you

provide in response to this letter, we may have additional comments. Unless we note otherwise,

any references to prior comments are to comments in our April 8, 2024 letter.

Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-4

Risk Factors

Tectonic currently relies and expects to rely in the future on the use of manufacturing suites in

third-party facilities..., page 146

We note that Tectonic currently relies on WuXi Biologics (Hong Kong) Limited as the sole manufacturer of its supply of TX45 product candidate used in its clinical trials. Please tell us your consideration of whether the proposed BIOSECURE Act, if passed and enacted into law, may impact the combined company s business and operations, and if so, how. Include risk

factor disclosure as appropriate.

The Merger

Background of the Merger, page 179

Erik Ostrowski

AVROBIO, Inc.

April 24, 2024

Page 2

We note your response to prior comment 5. Your description of the background of the

Merger Agreement is still not sufficient to clearly explain the bases for all material terms

of the Merger agreement that were negotiated through proposals and $\verb|counter-proposals.|$

Please further revise this section to explain the reason(s) why the various parties proposed

and counter-proposed valuations for AVROBIO and Tectonic. Specifically: Clearly explain the material bases for the proposed valuations for AVROBIO. In this

regard, you explain that proposed valuation amounts "includ[e] a targeted \$65 million

of net cash at closing," but you do not explain the reason(s) for proposed valuations

in excess of the targeted net cash amount. To the extent that the parties ascribed value $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

to AVROBIO in excess of its targeted ending net cash position based

 ${\tt AVROBIO's}$ public company listing or for any other material reason, please so state.

 $\,$ Explain the basis for the proposed valuations for Tectonic. In this regard, we note

 $$\operatorname{that}$$ that the reason(s) why Tectonic proposed an initial valuation for Tectonic of \$150

 $\,$ million in the Tectonic November 11, 2023 Proposal are unclear. It is similarly

 $\,$ unclear why AVROBIO countered with a proposed valuation for Tectonic of \$130 $\,$

 $\,$ million on November 15, 2023 at the direction of the Transaction Committee, and

why the parties ultimately agreed to the \$140 million valuation for Tectonic proposed $\,$

in the Tectonic November 21, 2023 proposal.

Please contact Jenn Do at 202-551-3743 or Mary Mast at 202-551-3613 if you have

questions regarding comments on the financial statements and related matters. Please contact

Lauren Hamill at 303-844-1008 or Chris Edwards at 202-551-6761 with any other questions.

Sincerely,

FirstName LastNameErik Ostrowski

Division of

Corporation Finance Comapany NameAVROBIO, Inc.

Office of Life

Sciences

April 24, 2024 Page 2 cc: Adam Johnson FirstName LastName